GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

1904

(III - 1 & Secs.)

File No. 479.

Serial Nos. 166.

Papers Filed.

Month December 1902.

SUBJECT.

Status of Dy Comrs as Heads of the Dacca Police. (Correspondence between the Dacca Dy Comrs and the D. G. of Police.)

PREVIOUS REFERENCES.	LATER REFERENCES.

G.R.S. 11]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Police)

NOTES.

File No. 47

FILE HEADING.

The status of Deputy Commissioners as Heads of the District Police and the extent to which they are subordinate to the Inspector General of Police.

From

The Inspector General of Police in Mysore,

No. - Dated 8.8.02 Received 10-8-02

Diary No. 3862 | Enclos. 4 files Spare Copies. | Serial No. 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Forwards certain Correspondence between himself and the Deputy Commissioner and the Supt of Police, Tumkur Dt and points out that both of them are wanting in courtesy and official etiquette; requests ~~that~~ the laying down of rules as regards the extent to which the Dy Comrs are subordinate to the Head of the Police Department &c

NOTES AND ORDERS.

File
16-12-02

The Inspector General says that the Dy Comr of the Tumkur Dt (Mr K. S. Doraswami Iyer) misunderstands the relative positions occupied by a Dy Comr & by the Head of the Police Department and is addressing him in a somewhat superior and dictatorial tone. He requests that some

NOTES—First sheet.

MGCPB—5,000—18-5-04

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 47 of 1904-05

Serial No.

official notice therefor the Dy. Comm'rs of his conduct may be taken and that clear rules may be laid down defining the status of the Deputy Commissioners in Police matters and the extent to which they are fatall subordinate to the Inspector General of Police. He further states that the Deputy Commissioner's Conduct has encouraged the Supdt of Police of that District (Mr C. Handin) to adopt a similar tone in his correspondence with the Inspector General's office. Four files of Correspondence have been forwarded for the perusal of Government.

The powers and duties of the Deputy Commissioners in police matters are ~~defined~~ prescribed in the Memo attached to the Govt Proceedings No Ramp 450-60 dated the 18th November 1891, (vide also para 3 of the Proceedings) As regards the circumstances under which the office of the Inspector General of Police was created, please see the Extract of Govt ord No 1265-9 dated the 27th October 1885 pri

Hag'S

Hag J

MGCPB—10,000—19-5-04

G.R.S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.	File No. 47 of 1904-05

Serial No.

The original is not forthcoming in the General Records nor is it printed in the Monthly Proceedings Volume.

Subject for orders.

SN 16/8/04 RR 16-8-04

The relative position of the Inspector General of Mines & the Deputy Commissioners of districts are fully defined in chapters IV and VII of the Govt Mines Regulation & before Government, & will be settled once for all when this Regulation is passed. The correspondence forwarded by Mr Carr does not show that there is any immediate necessity for amplifying the instructions contained in the Govt orders of 18th Nov: 1903, & the memo appended thereto. The fact appears to be that Mr Carr has taken umbrage at what he considers the curt & disrespectful tone of the D.C.'s

3 Proceedings. Flag A

H S

Generai and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 47 of 1904-05

Serial No.

D.C's replies to him, but ~~[illegible]~~ specified the exact particulars in which the allen have exceeded their powers. A perusal of Mr Carr's own remarks (marginal & other, such as, "cheap insolence!," "a Solomon come to judgment, i so for to") on their communications may, perhaps show that those remarks are not quite unexceptionable.

Orders are solicited as to what action if any has to be taken on the D.C's letter.

(Sd)

17.8.04

No 33
18-8-04.

Submitted to the Dewan Sahib thro' the 2nd Councillor.

The S.J reports that the Dy. Comptr of Tumkur does not act as if he were his subordinate but the DJ probably overestimates his position with reference to that of the Dy. Comptr. It is scarcely necessary to point out to him that the D.C's as

MGCPB—10,000—19-5-04

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 47 of 1904-05

Serial No.

Dr's Rights are entitled to be regarded by the Police Officers with respect. Some of the remarks of the I.G made on the D.C's letter seem to be unobjectionable as opined by the Dy Secy. Even the I.G's statement that the D.C has lost his head owing to his young age, recent promotion &c.; but it may not be improbable if, when the I.G's letter goes to him for explanation, he may not return the same complaint to the I.G. - In these circumstances may not it be right to advise the I.G by D.O or in person to treat his Coordinate Offrs with proper courtesy? CR 17.8.1904

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

MGCPB—10,000—19-5-05

G.R.S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 47 of 1904-05

Serial No.

The draft regulations now under the consideration of Govt. will clarify the relations formerly existing between the D.C. and D.I. Camps. This may be found in the Records and in the Forms regarding the unofficial correspondence between the two officers. I am confirmed & communicate that the case has been considered by the D.C. & taken a calm and dispassionate view of the whole matter. The D.I. Camps might have been more cautious in addressing the Head of a Deptt. Company but other officers communi-

Note.—Contn. sheet.

MGCPB—10,000—19-5-04

G.R.S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 47 of 1904-05

Serial No.

It would be useful if some general instructions were issued to the Executive Officers in charge of Treasuries as to the manner in which they should deal with applications for the issue of duplicate Treasury Receipts in lieu of those lost. Such instructions might be issued in the form of a Circular Memorandum.

Please see my D.O. dated 26/8/04 addressed to the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department.

27.8.1904

P.T.O.

Letter sent to the Sahib, as a further reference has been received from the Deputy Commissioner, Kurnool (see letter, etc).

NOTE.—Contn. sheet.

MGCPB—10,000—19-5-04

.R.S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 47 of 1904-05.

	Serial No.	
1481	2	Letter No. 133 dated the 22nd August 1904 from the Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur Dt., submitting copies of certain Correspondence between himself and the Inspector General of Police in Mysore.
		In the above letter, the Deputy Commissioner explains his side of the case in the several points complained by the I.G. of Police. His chief representations are:- (1) the refusal of the Insp. General to ~~furnish~~ order the return to the Dt. Office ~~with him both~~ a copy of Mr. L. Krishna Rao's ~~Special~~ Diaries ~~in a criminal case~~; —
Flag Z		the Dy Comr. quotes Sec: 44 of the Police Rules
Flag O.		and the Police Circular order in regard to the disposal of Police diaries in support of his view.
		(2) the procedure now followed by the Insp. General in corresponding direct with the Supdts of Police — the Dy Comr. states that this is a new procedure which has not received the sanction of Govt. and that this places the Supdt. in

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

MGCPB-10 000—19-5-04

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 47 of 1904-05.

Serial No.

a false and a delicate position as the orders given by the Insp: Genl sometimes clash with those issued by the Dy Comr.

(3) the action of the D.G. in returning the original a docket addressed to him, without the courtesy of a covering letter.

Submitted for orders.

SN 29-8-04 RR 29.8.04 29.8.04

The Dy Supdt's counter Complaint having come, the file is herewith sent back to the 2d Committee

29-8-1904

Read 30-8-04.

On points 2 & 3 the Committee may be called upon to state whether it is necessary to ascertain under what circumstances a direct telegram was sent to Lafont, and the arrangements if any between the Dy Comrs office & Lafont.

MGCPB—10 000—19-5-04

G.R.S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 47 of 1906-05

Serial No.

[illegible] sent to L.G. for his remarks but it will serve no useful purpose as the Divisional subordinates Officers are already trained.

Secretary
1/9/06

L.G.
2.9.1906

Police

11

G.R.S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 47 of 1904-05.

Serial No. 3.

Letter No. 146 dated the 24th August 1904 from the Dy. Asst. Inspector District, bringing to notice of Govt. another case of the Insp. General's corresponding direct with the Supt. of Police without his (the Dy. Insp.) knowledge Subd. for orders. The previous papers were submitted to the 2nd Councillor on the 30th August 1904 and have not been received back ~~till~~ ~~now~~.

2.9.04

S.N. 2-9-04

2.9.04

The file containing the complaints of the I. G of Police & the Dy. Insp. against each other has gone to the 2nd Councillor on its way to the Devan Saheb. This additional complaint of the D.I. of Inspector that the I.G issues orders to his Police Supts direct is also submitted to the Devan Saheb thro' the 2nd Councillor in continuation of the first file. The D.I. seems to be right in taking exception

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

MGCPB—10,000—19-5-04

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 47 of 1904-05

Serial No.

at the departure from the beaten track by the D.C.
2.9.1904

46
2.9.04.

I see that [redacted] no further information is actually called for in that: but a suggestion is made to send the D.C's letter to D.C for explanation regarding the latter having issued orders directly to the Subordinate [redacted] & then the advisability of the [redacted] is doubted by the Councillor himself. — that file has come on this is submitted in continuation to
7.9.1904

[illegible]
7.9.04

Note—Contn. sheet.

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 47 of 1904-05

Serial No.

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 47 of 1904-05

Serial No.

collected all and they unavailable evidence. A duress exercised many persons affected and the presence of the Inspector sufficient to the exercise of [illegible] the Commissioner not seem sufficient him from giving the [illegible] which could seem performed by others. It is plain that they were not [illegible] in my opinion with the ground of [illegible] unreasonable.

1466
8.9.04

5/9/04

4. Letter No. 629 dated 4.9.04 from Collector in Hyderabad

5. Govt. Proceedings No. 1878-9 dated the 6th Oct. 04

d. 47-4

MGCPB—10,000—19-5-04

Serial No.

4. Letter No. 1639/189 ~~from~~ d: 24-9-04 from the I.G. of Police in Mysore, forwarding in continuation another file of Correspondence between himself & the Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur Dt.

5. Govt Proceedings No. 1878-9/Pol: 47-4 dated the 6th Octbr 1904, observing that Mr. Dorasami Iyer did not exercise a sound discretion in not complying with the Inspector General's Circular ~~of~~ in re the detection & prevention of Crime, that he should not have employed Mr. Handia on disinfecting duty in preference to the more important work of detection that the statement made by Mr. Dorasami Iyer ~~in~~ in his letter No. 3106 d: 19-8-04 appears to be at variance with his later statement that Mr. Handia was asked to attend to the disinfecting duty, that the Insp. General should not have addressed direct communications to the Supt of Police ignoring the Dy Comr, that his procedure in returning in original the Dy Comr's letter relating to Dr Instructor was not in accordance with the principles of approved official courtesy, & that there is no reason why Mr Carr should refuse to send the weekly diaries of Mr. L. Krishna Rao when required & that much umbrage was taken at small personal difference which should not

Serial No.

have disturbed the harmony which responsible heads of Depts are expected to maintain.

6. Letter No. C dated the 20th October 1904 from the Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District, submitting for consideration a few explanations in regard to the remarks made against him in the Govt. Proceedings referred to above.

Submitted for orders

27/10/04.

Submitted to the Diwan Saheb with a draft thro' the 2nd Councillor. The draft explaining the case.

31.10.1904

1-11-04

1-11-04

Diwan's order on the file

8.11.

File No. 47 Jan 08.

Note

I have again patiently waded throu
ıe records connected with the case under reference.
ıthuogh it must be admitted that the detention of Mr.
ıudin at the Head Quarters, once on account of Chenna'
ɑamination and subsequently in connection with the
ısinfection of houses on account of plague, refers to
ifferent periods, the former being about the 17th Aug
ıd the latter 23rd idem as stated in the Deputy Commr'
etter dated 3rd Sept., it is not strange that Mr. Carr
hould have thought that the Dy. Commr. was shifting
is grounds as regards the reasons for the detention
f Mr. Haudin at Tumkur instead of deputing him for
he investigation of the dacoity case at Nonavinkere,
he difference of time between the two occasions being
nly five days. Chenna arrived on the 17th evening and
ıis examination was taken up on the 18th and concluded
ɔn the 19th. The Superintendent's diaries for the subse
ɥuent week clearly shews that from the 20 th to the
23rd he had virtually nothing particulr to do. If he wou
ne could have visited the scene of occurence and give
necessary instructions as regards the case which un-
fortunately for want of good guidance fell through.

There is one point which Mr. Dora

Swamy Iyer has not explained, i.e. the inconsistency between his letter of the 19th Aug. and 5th Sept. In the former, there is the unequivocal admission that Mr. Haudin was sent to Mysore to take Chenna's statement. Mr. Haudin does not deny that he was required to remain at Head Quarters as the Dy. Commr. considered Mr. Haudin's presence was "of great importance when Chenna arrived" and that he attended the Court of Mr. Sreenivas Rao and concluded the examination of Chenna and took up that of Kolar Ranganna and one Annasawmy." In reply to I.G's letter dated 2nd Sept. observing that it was "extraordinary that no report either official or confidential had reached him in connection with Chenna evidence or confession" the Dy. Commr. has in his letter dated 5th Idem stated that "the investigation is not in the hands of the Supdt.; no statements were recorded by him and so he could send none" to I.G. If these statements are not at variance, I do not know what else could possibly be. It is usual with Police Officers to embody in their diaries briefly, the proceedings recorded by a Court while they are present as regards the cases in which they take part. An Officer of Mr. Haudin's experience could not have been ignorant of this practice and

File No 47 for 05.

yet he omits to follow it, nor does the Dy. Commr. insist upon his doing so; but on the contrary takes (off) the responsibility from his hands and replies to I.G. in the manner indicated above. I am therefore inclined to think that there are no sufficient grounds to reconsider the order already passed.

8/12/04

8.12.1904

for has already given this case as much consideration and time as it deserved — It is trusted that the Dy Commr will act in the spirit of the advice given to him as regards his conduct towards the Police which that officer will not fail to extend that consideration & courtesy to the Dy Commr which he is entitled as the responsible head of a District No further explanation is needed & that the Commissioner should be informed.

10.XII.04

Filed 15.12.1904

15/12/04

J 561

Office of the Inspector General of Police in

Mysore , Bangalore , the 8th August 1904

File No. 47

S. No. 1

No: Enclosures 4 Files of correspondence, with Plurish

Branch III

P/1561

From

F.E.C.Carr Esquire

Inspector General of Police

in Mysore .

To .

B.K.Venkatavarada Iyengar Esquire

Secretary to the Government of

H.H.the Maharaja of Mysore

General & Revenue Departments .

Sir,

I have the honor to forward for the kind perusal and information of Government , certain files of - - correspondence , which relate to communications passi between my Office , and that of the Deputy Commission of Tumkur , and the Superintendent of Police of the same District .

(2) I had no wish at first to make any official reference about this matter , hoping that the Deputy Commissioner would , on gaining further experience , drop the somewhat superior and patronising tone he ha thought fit to adopt . But as he still continues to address me in the same manner , I would request Gover ment to take some official notice of his conduct , as it is clearly impossible for me as Inspector General of Police , - and as the representative of , and adviser to , Government in the Police Department - to be dictated to by an officer who is my subordinate in tha

that Department .

I am willing to make every allowance for this officer's inexperience - as he has only lately been elevated to the duties and responsibilities of the head of a District ; but he clearly misunderstands the relative positions occupied by a Deputy Commissioner and the Head of the Police Department . (3

The general note which runs through the Deputy Commissioner's whole correspondence , is that he is the actual and virtual head of the District Police ; but he does not follow this line of argument to the logical conclusion , that such being the case , he is the direct subordinate of the Head of the Police Department . He desires apparently to exercise all the powers of a District Police Officer , without any disagreable sub- ordination to the Inspector General .

I would also beg to point out that the Deputy Commissioner's want of courtesy , and lack of knowledge of official etiquette , has encouraged the Superintendent of Police to adopt much the same tone , in his correspondence with my Office . (5

If this state of things is allowed to continue the work of the Department cannot be carried on without friction , and I would therefore respectfully request Government to lay down clearly the status of Deputy Commissioners , as District Police Officers , with regard to the Head of the Department . (6

I would add that in my official dealings with all the other Deputy Commissioners in the Province , I have always been treated with the greatest consideration and cou

I have the honorto be

Sir,

Your Most Obedient Servar

Inspector General of Poli

J 481.

File No. 47.

1904-05 S. No. 2

III
Draw
26/0
(Police)

From The Deputy Commissioner
Tumkur District.

To The Secretary to the Govt
of H. H. the Maharaja of
Mysore
General & Revenue Depts.

Dated 22nd
Despatched 24th } August 1904.
Received

General No. 133
No.

Enclosure No. II.

Submitting for the
information of Government
Copies of certain Correspon
dence with the Inspector
General of Police in Mysor

Deputy Commissioners Office,
Tumkur District,
Tumkur 22nd August 1904.

From

K. Doraswami Iyer Esq.,
Deputy Commissioner,
Tumkur District.

To

B. K. Venkatanarasimyar Esq.,
Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of
Mysore,
General and Revenue Departments.

Sir,

I have the honor to send herewith for the information of Government copies of certain Correspondence with the Inspector General of Police.

2. Mr. L. Krishna Rao a former Superintendent of Police of this District took away with him all his diaries. Upon my reference to the Inspector General of Police that officer declined to ask Mr. Krishna Rao to return them. The practice hitherto -

1/1/05

was for Superintendents to hand over their diaries to their successors. The nature of the diaries and the purposes they should serve are given in Section 44* of the Police Rules and are restated on page 33† of the "Police Circulars revised up to 1885." In the restatement occurs a further instruction that the diaries should tend to "Considerably curtail Correspondence which would otherwise have to be submitted in detail." They are important documents containing frequently matters of permanent interest which if dealt with as separate Correspondence would have led to Copies being maintained in the usual Course. Deputy Commissioners and Superintendents of Police should begin their acquaintance with the abilities and idiosyncrasies of the various members of the force and with the nature of the people in various parts of the District and the kind and nature of the

crime they are addicted to, upon these valuable confidential departmental semi official documents. Revenue officers are required to leave behind for their successors a useful precis of instruction drawn up from their experience of a District. In the Police Department the Superintendents' diaries serve that purpose.

3. I am informed that a certain Superintendent of this District handed over once to a Magistrate's Court his predecessors diaries in direct infringement of existing practice. From the terms of the Police Circular and Section 44 of the Rules they would be covered by Section 123 of the Indian Evidence Act under which no Court could permit any one "to give any Evidence derived from" these "unpublished official records", Much less summon that evidence of its own accord. Such infringement of Law and

practice occurred when the diaries should have been safely locked away and now occurs an infringement the other way - when they are kept back from one who is entitled to them.

4. There was received here on the 18th morning by the Superintendent of Police a telegram from the Inspector General of Police requiring him to proceed to Nanawinkere to investigate a dacoity. In my letter No J695 dated 26th May 1904 to the Inspector General I had requested his attention to the fact that direct correspondence with the Superintendent was a new procedure which had not received the sanction of Government. The practice that had continued under the Dewan and subsequently under 4 or 5 Departmental Heads with regard to a Superintendents' Police diaries and to

interviewed the prisoner in the jail at Mysore had to be detained here. I explained this to the Inspector General of Police in my letter No J 106 dated 19th August 1904.

5. I must not forget to refer to the correspondence relating to a proposed, contemplated or merely expressed change of Police designations. The Inspector General asked for opinion upon the change and opinion was given but strangely enough it brought forth his docket No 6809/412 dated 14th May 1904 I had to enter into a long explanation in my letter No 695 dated 27th May 1904. If an acquiescence was wanted there was no need to call for an opinion.

6. I must not forget either to refer to a docket which was returned to me by the Inspector General. In almost every office dockets of the kind have frequently been sent out and received without question

many such might be forthcoming in the records of the Inspector General's own office. The indignity that was put upon it in returning it "in original" and unaccompanied even by that small "Courtesy" of a Covering docket was passing strange. Nevertheless I sent it back with a polite explanation of the meaning of the docket and an explanation of the situation that the Inspector General's action had forced.

7. It is unfortunate that circumstances beyond my control should be compelling me to this letter; but in my own defense — I can do no less than place these details before Government and leave my side of the case there.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant,

W. Dorrance Jr
Deputy Commissioner
Junkin District

bf

1903/04.

Police Demarcations

To.

The Inspector General
of Police in Mysore
Bangalore.

No: 622/03-04
Dated 6/9 May 1904.

His circular memo No: 6546/30
dated the 29th April/30th May 1904.

In reply has the honor to state that owing to long usage the people of the Provinces have become familiar with the designations of Jemadar and Duffedar etc. as applied to the subordinate officers of the Provincial Police and the undersigned is therefore not in favor of the proposed change. Moreover it is understood that the Provincial Police was organized on the lines of the Police of the Punjab and Central Provinces where it is believed the subordinate officers are known as Jemadars Duffedars etc.

and these designations are still used in the Mofussil Police of the Bombay Presidency where the Inspector is called "Foujdar."

(Signed) J. B. Hamilton
Supt. of Police.

"Copy." K Dominy.

I wrote my note underneath Sargent
I did not consider any change necessary.
K, O
22.8.01

From:

F. C. C. Carr Esq.

Inspector General of Police

in Mysore

To

C. Nanjundiah Esq.

Supt of Police Tumkur Dist

No: 6809/412

Dated 14th May/04.

His reply No: 622 dated 9th May/04, to this office circular memo No: 6546/30 dt/3rd May/04.

I have the honor to point out that, if the Superintendent of Police had read through the circular under reference carefully, he would have seen that his opinion on any "proposed change" was not called for, seeing that no "proposed change" was advocated.

It is not clear where the Supt. gets his extensive knowledge of the Police administration of other Provinces; but his information

with regard to Bombay is wholly inaccurate, as the designations employed, according to the Bombay Police Manual, are exactly the same lines as those in the Madras Presidency namely: —

(1) Inspector

(2) Chief Constables

(3) Head Constables of four grades

(4) Constables of two or three classes.

[Note:— In the Bombay mounted Police, which is an altogether special and separate body from the foot Police, the designations, Sawars, Duffedars and Lance-Duffedars are used].

It was owing to the inconvenience and Confusion created by the mixture of English and Indian designations, which Carried with them Various rates of pay, that the circular in question was issued. In moving officers of all denominations from one District to another, from the Gold fields to districts, from the cities of Mysore and Bangalore

to districts, not only is the diversity of denomination most confusing, but the settlement of different grades of pay and allowances most inconvenient.

(Signed) F. E. C. Carr.
Inspector-General
of Police

"Copy"

J. No. 613
27-5-04

Tumkur
26th May 1904.

To.

The Inspector General
of Police

Sir.

I have the honor to acknowledge your docket No: 7076/369 dated the 23d current inviting my attention to your circular memo No: 6546-30 D/ the 29th ultimo and requesting an early reply. I have in my turn to invite your attention to the Police Superintendent's docket No: 622 D/ the 9th current at foot of which I stated my opinion that no change of designation for the Smaller Police officers was necessary. You have asked my opinion as well as that of my Superintendent of Police and both opinions were furnished individually upon the Communication that issued from the Police branch of the office.. The new procedure of corresponding with the Police Superintendents directly or "through the Deputy Commissioner" causes

some confusion. This manner of correspondence obtains only in the Forest department (as that is a technical dept.), in matters judicial, Revenue, Excise &c a direct correspondence with my assistants does not obtain. It was so hitherto in the Police department, and it is surmised that the deviation from the old practice has not received the authority of Government.

Referring to your docket No: 6809-412 dated the 14th current addressed to the Superintendent of Police through me I need only say that it was not known here that your reason for contemplating a uniform system of designations was the inconvenience and confusion created by the mixture of English and Indian designations carrying varying rates of salary and that the diversity of denomination caused confusion in the matter of transfers from district to district and inconvenience in the settlement of the rates of pay and allowance.

In my humble opinion however the

~~mistaken~~ rather the distinction ~~of~~
of Indian and English designations
is or ought to be a factor to eliminate
confusion.

If our idea to bring the designations
of Police officers under one uniform
System has only one drawback to
my thinking and that is a very imp:
ortant one. The people in this Country
take a long time to understand new
designations and I need only point to
the fact that to the great majority of
them a "Police Inspector" is still a
"Killedar" though the old designation
was abolished many many years ago.
Recently there was a proposal to Call
the Sheikdar a Revenue Inspector but
it did not commend itself to Govt
who decided to continue the old
designation to which the people
were all used.

In Conclusion I beg to
express my regret that I Committed
the same mistake as the Superin-
tendent of Police in not distinguishing
between a "Contemplated" change
and a "proposed" change.

Anything contemplated and expressed becomes a proposal sometimes. The border line between the two states is frequently indistinct.

(Signed) K. Doraiswamy Iyer
Deputy Commr.

"Copy."

[illegible]

Police Diaries

Office of the Deputy Commissioner
Lunatic District.

Dated, Lunatic, 8th July 1904

From

R. Dacre Lunatic Dyer Esqr.
Deputy Commissioner,
Lunatic District.

To

H. E. C. Crew Esqr
Inspector General of Police
in Elysium.

Sir,

Adverting to your circular No. 748 & 749 dated 17th & 20th June 1904, forwarding copies of the Government Proceedings No. 1895 dated 11th March 1904, in JF 35-1903-04 I have the honour to request that I may be favored with a copy of the Memo by the Government Advocate quoted therein and a copy of the Communication that followed from here. I note your view that no further action is called for.

2 The diaries of the Police Superintendent and the Special diaries and other papers submitted by Mr.

I. Kindly ordered may however be kindly ordered to be returned to this office. The Superintendent's diaries especially contain many other matters besides and should be available here for purposes of reference.

Thanking you.

"Signed" A. Daly Swanson Dyer
Deputy Commissioner.

"Copy"

4 Enl.

Office of the Inspector General
of Police in Mysore

Dated, Bangalore, 23rd July 1904
25

From

F. E.ᵗ G. Carr Esqr,
Inspector General of Police
in Mysore.

To A. S. Dora Swami Iyer Esqr.
Deputy Commissioner
Tumkur District.

Sir.

Reference:—

Your letter No. J. 16 dated the 8th July 1904, requesting to be furnished with a copy of the memo of the Government Advocate, and certain other papers connected with the Sub Murder case:—

I have the honor

Your information a copy of the memo of Government Advocate in the case in question. Mr. K. Krishna Rao will be asked to return the Special diaries, if he reports that he has no further use for them in the Samaj

As regards Mr. Krishna Rao's weekly diaries, these are purely confidential, departmental, documents, which cannot be allowed to be filed in a public office, or made use of for purposes of reference, and I must therefore decline to direct Mr. Krishna Rao to return them.

It is not understood what "communication that was sent from your office" is referred to, which you desire to be returned.

Thanks.

Signed H. C. Barr.
Inspector General of Police.

"Cop."

No 59.

Deputy Commissioner's office,
Tumkur District.
Tumkur, 26th July 1904.

From

K Dora Swami Iyer Esqr,
Offg Deputy Commissioner,
Tumkur District.

To

H E G. Carr Esqre
Inspector General of Police
in Mysore.

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge with thanks, receipt of your letter No: 424/15- of the 20th/25th instant and its enclosure of a copy of the Government Advocate's Memo in the case in question.

I note that Mr Krishnaraõ will be asked to return the Special diaries if he reports that he has no further use for them in the defamation case.

Mr [illegible] Krishnaraõ

that all Abberline Reid's weekly diaries are purely Confidential Departmental documents I beg to state that I quite agree with you there, but must confess my inability to understand the rest of the argument that they cannot be allowed to be filed in a public office or made use of for purposes of reference. All of these Confidential documents had passed through my hands departmentally. They contained my own remarks on almost every step in the investigation of the Whitechapel Murders and also the Inspector General's and they contained besides many other matters of useful information. The rule and practice hitherto has been to hand over the weekly diaries to the succeeding Superintendent of Police. The diaries belong to my District and my relations with them or with my Police Assistants. I hold that they must be filed in a public office but separately and in a different manner from other documents—

if necessary under the lock and key
of the Head of the office. If they are not
to serve purposes of reference why are
they preserved all the Provincial records
instead of being destroyed as records as
they are receive back from you.
A Police Superintendents diaries and
other writings in his official Capacity
can by no means be considered
as his private property. Mr. Kirkland
has had no right to take them away
, Nay that he may be directed to return
them.

Referring to the last para of your
letter I find that I did not desire the
return of any Communication but
only sought for a copy. I referred to
the Communication that was con-
fidentially sent by my Police office.
A Copy of it was not forthcoming here
and I was desirous to know somethin
of its nature and drift. It was difficult
- cult to see why the Communication
was treated as confidential when the

Mealtin it calculated to had been before
two Magistrates, a Sessions Judge and
two Judges of the Chief Court. I must
for the present with Mr. Krishna Rao's
signature as my recommendation for
Deputy Commissioner. It was accordingly
to the Government to decide regarding
of the final judgment in the Guntur
murders. I beg that you may be
pleased to favor me with a copy.

Thanking you.

Signed K. Lakshminarayana Iyer
Deputy Commissioner.

"Copy"
K. Doraiswamy

Jundier
27th July 1904.

Sir,

In continuation of my letter of the 24th June ending here-with Copies of two Memos by Mr. Hendin and the statement of Rama taken down in the Jail at Allypore

Yours faithfully
Signed. K. Daradamni Iyer

H. E. C. Carr Esqr.

"Copy"

I drd Clerk
Copy of my D°-D
the 24. H- informed
the 27th of this purport that he came
to bring of my order to me.
Hendin. & proceed to impose
& interview Prisoner Chenna in
the Impose Jail &c
22-8-04

Bangalore

D. O. 21. 7. 04.

Sir,

Your D. O. of the 24th and 27 with enclosures — I am glad to have got a very important clue to the Gubbi Murder, which is certainly deserving to be carefully worked up, and I shall of course give you every assistance in my power.

As regards the Superintendents weekly Diaries, I enclose Copy of a Circular formulated by some Police Officers — this only on the matter on this subject.

I wholly concur with the letter and spirit of this Circular and do issuing definite orders in the matter.

The Diary is the D. O. report of the District Police Officer to his Departmental head through the Deputy Commissioner of his Dist

and as such must be kept by
the St. Police Officers in personal
and Confidential record. I fully
realize and will keep this
down definitely.

Yours truly

Signed H. C. Carr

To K.S. Para Kumari Iyer Esq.
Deputy Commr. Kumbakonam.

K.S.

The special diary will
follow.

Yd. H.C.

"Copy"
K Dorasamy

Telegram.

D. P.

To

Inspector
Nandair, Tamair

Bangalore
Inspector
General
of Police

Dt. 2

Please proceed directly to the Nandair district and report fully why and when and directed enquire.

Dated
17th August
1904

"Copy"

No 106.
J

Deputy Commr's office,
Tuticorin District.
Dated, Tuticorin, 19th August 190.

From
K. Vira Swami Iyer Esqr.,
Deputy Commissioner,
Tuticorin District.

To

F. E. C. Carr Esqr.,
Inspector General of Police
in Ootacamund.

Sir,

Referring to your wire of the 17th instant, right to the Superintendent of Police received here yesterday, I have the honor to tell you that he stayed away under my orders and directed the Assistant of Tinnevelly to proceed to Nanguneri here and to enter upon the investigations of the dacoity. I decided upon this for the information I got that it was sufficient to depute the Assistant. And further the Superintendent was required here as I was expecting Prisoner Thomas from the Ootacamund Jail I had applied for his appearance here under the Prisoners' Testimony

Ret. He arrived on the 17th night.
You might recollect that the Superintendent had gone to Nyasaland under my orders and brought his statement."

I have &c:

Signed A. Dare Kennedy
Deputy Commissioner

"Copy"

1903. 04.

From K. Doraswami Iyer Esqr
Deputy Commissioner
Tumkur District

To H. E. G. Carr Esqr
Inspector General of
Police in Mysore.
Bangalore

Dated 6th
Despatched } April 1904.
Received

General No. 545.
No.

Enclosure No.

Submitted to D. G.
Annual and Revert
if permitted
(D.C.) C. V. S.
7. 4. 04.

C. 6272 D/16 April 1904.
3 6

Memo.

This letter is referred unofficial. The case is objectionable and in the nature of an order or Command.

"Signed" H. G. Carr
I. G. Police
6. 4. 04.

Let me see the office copy.
(D.C.) K.S.D.
17. 4. 04.

As the District Drill Instructor is only an acting man and has been found quite incompetent not even being able to "dress the men in proper form, I have the honor to request the Inspector General to kindly entertain a competent man and send him to the District at his earliest convenience. The pay of the appointment is Rupees 14/ per mensem and there are probably in Bangalore many pensioned Native Commissioned or Non Commissioned Officers who would be glad to be employed in the Police as Drill Instructors.

"Signed" C. Nanjundiah
for Deputy Commissioner
Tumkur District

The mischief lies in the fact that the ordinary Clerk does not use the delicacy of the dignified Roof-mere of Government etiquette which requires one to give merely "the honor of requesting" a matter to "Kindly" do a thing at his early Convenience. Communications worded like the docket in question are received or sent out almost every day from every office in the Province but that is no reason why an officer's attention should not be called to them, nor yet any why the indignity of being "returned in original" should be put upon them.

I am prepared to admit that the portion underlined by the P. I. General might have been better worded but I am not prepared to admit that it was meant to give him a Command or order or to adopt an objection-able tone in the simple matter of asking the favor of being provided with a Competent Drill Instructor. The words in question when put into Kwarescan will read as the perfection of

politeness but when the idea is rendered in English we have to bear in mind the capacity of the average official.

I do I insist upon having the fullest measure of courtesy from the various heads of Departments that I have to deal with, & I am anxious naturally to avoid offending in that particular myself.

"Signed" K Doraiswamy Iyer
20. 4. 04.

"Copy"
K Doraiswamy Iyer

111 / Dues / 114

1904 Odsc

No. 47 of 405

From The Deputy Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore & Commissioner of Trunkin :

To The Secretary to the Govt of H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore General & Revenue Departments.

Dated 24th } Mentd.
Despatched 31st } August 1904

Received

General No. 146

No.

Enclosure No. /

Forwarding in continuation of this office No. 133 of 22nd instant. Copy of another telegram to the Superintendent of Police from the Inspector General of Police.

Deputy Commissioner's Office.
Tumkur District.

Dated, Tumkur, 24th August 1904.

From

K. Doraiswamy Iyer Esqr.,
Deputy Commissioner,
Tumkur District.

To

B. K. Venkatavaradiengar Esqr.
Secretary to the Government
of His Highness the Maha Rajah
of Mysore, General and Revenue
Departments.

Sir,

In continuation of my letter No. 133 dated the 22nd instant, I have the honor to send herewith copy of another wire to the Superintendent of Police from the Inspector General of Police. Copies of the first wire and my letter in reply accompanied my Communication to you of the 22nd instant numbered 133.

Some urgent work had taken me to Tiptur. On my way

back from there today I was sur-
prised to see the Superintendent
at the crossing station (Nellur).
He was going to Nonavinkere to
investigate the dacoity. His orders
were to remain at Tumkur and
see to the disinfection of a few
houses. A death had occurred
from plague and dead rats had
been discovered in several houses
in the Mandi. The Vice President of
the Municipality was new to the
work and the Amildar was new
to the Taluk. The Superintendent
had therefore to remain here during
my absence but he showed me
the 2nd wire from the Inspector
General in explanation of his leaving
Tumkur.

The dacoity at Nonavinkere
was not a serious affair. Of
the 7 Wodder Coolies Concerned in it

5 were Caught and identified that
very night and 2 the next morn
-ing and they are all safely
locked up at Tiptur. It is diffi
-cult to see what the Superinten
-dent can find to do at Tiptur
and Nonavinkere. If there was
any thing to be done I should
have proceeded to Nonavinkere
myself. Is he going to find
any suggestion or instruction
that I had not thought of?
The result however is that he
complied with the Inspector
General's wire and incidentally
disregarded my definite in-
-structions about safeguarding
Tumkur Town from plague.
Under Government orders Super-
-intendents of Police are also
District Plague officers and there
is a separate departmental head
for matters Plague. If heads of

individual Departments should be
permitted to exercise direct control
over my Assistants my authori
in the District, might be brought
into Contempt apart from the
confusion that might be caus
Eventually official discipline and
subordination might come to be
regarded by my Assistants as
not very up-to-date notions. Of
all Departmental heads it is in the
head of the Police Department that on
would expect to find greater courte
and conciliation to a Deputy Co
Commissioner who is also the Distri
Magistrate but very strangely it
just in that quarter that an absen
of both has become obtrusively
apparent.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant

K Dorman Jr

Deputy Commissioner

Telegram

To

Superintendent of Police

From

Inspector General

Please proceed to Jamrinhere In-
vestigate duconity - Case. Send full report
Your leave from 26th Cancelled.

Brig.

K Dorkenoozoo

1900
1904-05.

From

The Inspector General of Pol
in Mysore.

To.

The Secretary to the Govt:
of Mysore.
General and Revenue Departments.

Dated 24th September 1904

Despatched.

Received.

General No. 1639
No. 189

Enclosure No. 12.

Forwarding file of correspondence which has passed between Inspr. Genl - and Deputy Commissioner of the Tumkur District

Received at 3 p.m. on the 25th Sept-1904.
Submitted to the Dewan Sahéb thro' the 2d Councillor.
&
3.30 p.m. 25.9.1904

Channa Chetty requested an opinion in the matter. I saw Mr Duraswamy Iyer's letter & recommended that Mr H. Narasimha Iyer-in Tumkur-put in communication with the engineer Mr Channa as the D.C. & Forest Superintendent to attend to belonging measures in the town. Mr Narasimha Iyer is requested a report as to the correspondence between D.C. & E.E. is enclosed.

NN 25.9.1904 25/9/04

Office of the
Inspector General of Police in Mysore.

NO: Dated, Bangalore, 24th. September 1904.

From,

F. E. C. Carr Esquire,
Inspector General of Police in Mysore.

To,

B. K. Venkatavaradaiengar Esquire,
Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.
General and Revenue Departments.

Sir,

In continuation of my letter No. (Nil.) dated 8th. August. (copy attached), and with reference to the concluding sentence of Para 4 (copy attached) of my Memorandum regarding the Police powers of Deputy Commissioners dated 20th September; and further in connection with my letters reporting Mr. Haudin's conduct, in several important cases, I have the honor to forward herewith a file of correspondence (with 10 enclosures) which has passed between my Office, and that of the --- Deputy Commissioner of Tumkur.

(2) On the 14th August 1904, a very serious Dacoity case was reported from Nonavinakere, in the Tumkur District - On receiving the 1st. Information Report signed by Mr. Haudin on the 17th, I wired, ordering him to go to the scene of crime immediately and called his attention to my Circular Order (vide enclosure 1) in his Diary of the 20th August, (vide enclosure 3)

. In this Diary I also asked Mr. Haudin to let me know whether he had been into Bangalore, without leave, as it had been reported to me that he had been seen here. Mr. Haudin's reply, as also that of Mr. Dorasami Iyer to my very civil --

remark

remark about Sports Programmes, strike me ,the former as -- insolent; the latter as particularly discourteous and ungentle -manly.

(3) I would call attention to enclosures 4, 8, 10 - the replies from the Deputy Commissioner Tumkur to my Nos. 5, 6, 7. In these Mr. Dorasami Iyer constantly shifts his ground, with regard to the reasons which led him to detain Mr. Haudin at Tumkur, when he should have been sent to investigate the Nonavinakere Dacoity case. The tone of these letters, is wholly uncalled for, and shows a deliberate desire to offend. The same style of correspondence is continued, in these letters, to which I took exception in my former letter to Government dated the 8th August 1904 - namely one of patronising and - superior knowledge - giving me information on subjects, --- which Mr. Dorasami Iyer must be perfectly well aware I am -- well acquainted with.

(4) The outcome of the course of conduct pursued by Mr. Dorasami Iyer, of constantly screening his subordinate, and of systematically ignoring all my orders, and instructions, -- has been, that Mr. Haudin has more or less adopted the same line, and has ended in being openly insolent and insubordinate.

(5) It is impossible for me to carry on the work of the Department, if subordinate officers are allowed to ignore my authority; and it is particularly unfortunate that such an - inexperienced and junior officer as Mr. Dorasami Iyer, should have allowed himself to be led into such a systematic course of discourtesy, in his dealings with my Office, as he has - thought fit to adopt during the last six months.

(6) As regards Mr. Haudin, his conduct for some-time past has been far from satisfactory, and he has during Mr. Madiah's, and my regime, evinced a district tendency to insubordination.; being careful however, always to gain the sympathy and support of his Deputy Commissioner, whether in Bangalore or Tumkur. - If discipline is to be maintained, this sort of conduct cannot be overlooked; and I would again urge on Government the-

necessity

essity of retiring this officer at the earliest opportunity, his further retention in the service is not conducive to good discipline, or the good name of the Police Force.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

D. C. Cameron

Inspector General of Police.

CR

Extract from Memorandum regarding the Police Powers of Deputy Commissioners.

dated 20th Sep: 1904

"Nothing that I can say or write so clearly demonstrates the disastrous and paralysing effects of the present system, when applied in its literal and naked deformity, than the correspondence which has passed between my office and that of one of the Deputy Commissioners in the Province, with regard to the work of his District Superintendent. This correspondence has been forwarded to Government for information and such orders as may be considered necessary."

Cir No: 6332/24

Office of the
Inspector General of Police in Mysore.
Dated, Bangalore, 19th April 1904.

C I R C U L A R M E M O R A N D U M .

The attention of Superintendents and Assistant Superintende is drawn to the Standing Police Orders regarding the investigati of crime by superior Officers. All Superintendents and Assistan Superintendents are required to visit the scene of occurrence in every Heinous Crime without delay and take up the investigation themselves. They should carry these cases up to the Sessions Court personally supervising every detail of their enquiry.

Any neglect of this - the most important of their duties, by superior officers will be viewed with the very gravest displeasu by the Inspector General.

In like manner Inspectors will be held personally responsib for the investigation of Crime in their respective Taluks and no execuse will be allowed for dereliction of this duty.

The Inspector General proposes shortly to issue a regular Crime Register or Journal to all Superintendents and Assistant Superintendents of Police which will have to be written up by the in their own handwriting, showing the progress made in the invest gation of each and every heinous case occurring in their respecti Divisions.

(Signed.) F. C. Carr.
Inspector General of Polic
CR

-rt of first complaint or intelligence received describing the occur-
of a Cognizable offence or other important occurrence on the 15th
f August 1904 from the Nonavinkere Tanna of Tiptur Taluk.

P A R T I C U L A R S .

Dacoity— Under Section 395 I. P. C.

1. Name of informant. Sivachar Nanjappa of Nonavinkere village.

2. Outline of complaint. Complained to the Police that on the 13th instant at 7-30 P.M. while he, one Karibasavaswami and others were in the Siddeswaraswami Mutt, 7 persons armed with axes and having their faces smeared with ashes entered his dwelling house, which is in the Mutt premises and made off with gold and silver jewels and clothes to the aggregate value of Rs: 211-4-0 that on raising an alarm, the thieves who appear to be Waddars threw stones at them, some of which hit and hurt them.

3. Name of Police Officer present & 4. steps taken&c. Duffedar Hanumantayya has taken up the investigation.

5. When and to what Magistrate reported. On 14-8-04, to the 2nd General Assistant Commissioner and 1st class Magistrate, Tumkur District.

Note:- The Amildar has been directed to take up the investigation of the case.

(Sd.) C. Haudin.
For Deputy Commissioner,
Tumkur District.

I. G's Remarks. Wired Superintendent to go to scene of crime at once.

(Id.) F. C.
17-8-04.

CR

Diary of the Superintendent of Police, Tumkur District, for the week ending Saturday 20th August 1904.

Tumkur, Monday 15th August 1904.

Attended the drill of the District and Special Reserve Police. Looked up the Town and attended offic for the day.

(1) An occurrence Report of a dacoit at Nonavankere on the night of the 13th instant was received this evenin at District Head Quarters from Tiptur On the matter being reported to the Deputy Commissioner he ordered the ca to be investigated by the Amildar and directed me to remain at Head Quarter as he considered it of great importance that I should be in Tumkur when prisoner Chenna (connected with the Gubbi murders) who is being got up under the Prisoners Testimony Act arrives the day after tomorrow.

Tuesday 16-8-04.

Patrolled the Town and visited the Town and Kasba Stations. At office fo the day. Attended drill in the evenir of the District and Special Reserve Police.

Wednessday 17-8-04.

Looked up the Town and attended Offic

(2) The Amildar of Tiptur reports th arrest of the 7 accused persons in the Nonavankere Dacoity; that the cas which is of the ordinary kind with no special feature attending it is being worked up in view to the recovery of

Inspector General's Remarks.

I have already written about this. My orders issued in my circular Memorandum⊗ were perfectly clear. I am awaiting your full report in this case.
No. 6332/24 dated 19th April 1904

Superintendent's Reply.

Kindly see diary for week ending 27th August 1904 in which details of the case are fully reported.

29-8-04

Inspector General's Remarks.

You received the F. I. R on the 15th; and could have gone to the scene of the dacoity and returned easily in time to be present when Chenna

ed in Tumkur. I cannot have neglecting all your other work on account of this one case.

Deputy Commissioner's Remarks.

The Superintendent has not done so so far as I am aware. I am not in the habit of allowing any one to neglect his work. 30.8.04

Inspector General's Remarks

I understand you came into Bangalore on the 13th instant and stopped the night here. If this was the case why was no report of this made to me, and my sanction obtained before you left your District. 27-8-04

Superintendent's Reply.

This information requires to be sifted. I was not in Bangalore on the 13th instant. 29-8-04

Deputy Commissioner's Remarks.

Had you gone to Bangalore on the 13th instant? 29-8-04

Superintendent's Reply.

Kindly see my reply to I. G's note over leaf. 29-8-04

the property and that there was no need of my going there. I reported the capture of the accused to the Deputy Commissioner.

Prisoner Chenna arrived by Mail Train this evening under escort of t Mysore City Police and was safely secured for the night.

Thursday 18-8-04.

At drill of the District and Special Reserve Police. Visited the Kusba st tion and attended Office. Under the Deputy Commissioners instructions I had prisoner Chenna produced before Mr. Sreenivas Rao, 1st class Magistrate and had his statement recorded under section 164 C. P. C. and was engaged in this matter till 5-30 P.M

Friday 19-8-04.

At drill of the District and Special Reserve. Inspected the Stores and patrolled in Town,. Attended the Cou of Mr. Sreenivasa Rao and concluded the examination of Chenna and took u that of Kolar Ranganna and one Annaswamy.

Saturday 20th August 1904.

Attended office and disposed of current work in the evening. Patrolled in Town and attended office for the day. Prisoner Chenna left this morni under the usual escort for the Mysor Jail.

Attended drill in the evening of the Special Reserve and District Police.

I take this opportunity of menti

ing

Inspector' General's Remarks

is most extraordinary -! On the 25th July four copies of the whole programme in detail, were sent to the Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District. The Despatch book shows four copies of Programmes despatched on the 25th July to all Deputy Commissioners in the Province. Why the programmes were not forwarded to you I am at loss to understand. 26-8-04

Deputy Commissioner'

Extraordinary indeed! //

Superintendent's Rep

Two copies of the progra

received here on the 28th (yester-

day). None were received before this date.

Deputy Commissioner's Remarks

Please see the AMAD Register and verify.

mentioning that I have not up to been favoured with a copy of the programme of the Police Sports th are to come off early next month in the absence of one I do not kn for what events to train my teams

(Signed.) C. Haudin.

Tumkur

22-8-04.

(this remark is presum to the Deputy Commissi office - which has no re to see the Superintende Confidential diary - an has not been answered any one.)

Deputy Commissioner's Office.

Tumkur District.

No: J. 106. Dated, Tumkur, 19th August 1904.

From,

K. Dorasami Iyer esquire,

Deputy Commissioner,

Tumkur District.

To,

F. E. C. Carr Esquire,

Inspector General of Police

in Mysore.

Sir,

Referring to your wire of the 17th instant night, to the Superintendent of Police received here yesterday, I have the honor to tell you that he stayed away under my orders and directed the Amildar of Tiptur to proceed to Neeravinkere and to enter upon the investigation of the dacoity. I decided upon the First Information Report that it was sufficient to depute the Amildar. And further the Superintendent was required here, as I was expecting prisoner Chenna from the Mysore Jail. I had applied for his appearance here under the Prisoners Testimony Act. He arrived on the 17th night . You might recollect that the Superintendent had gone to Mysore under my orders and brought his statement.

I have &c.

(Sd.) K. Dorasami Iyer

Deputy Commissioner.

Note:- Dacoity case reported, 15th August. Prisoner Chenna did not arrive at Tumkur till 17th August, and could have been detained till Mr. Haudin's return. Mr. Haudin had two clear days to go out to investigate Dacoity Case in.

(Id.) F. C.

20-8-04.

CR

No:

Office of the
Inspector General of Police in Mysore
Dated Bangalore, 23rd August 1904.

From,

F. E. C. Carr Esquire,
Inspector General of Police
in Mysore.

To,

K. S. Dorasami Iyer Esquire,
Deputy Commissioner,
Tumkur District.

Sir,

Reference your letter No: 106 of the 19th instant re Honavinkere Dacoity;

--------ooOoo---------

I decided, on receiving the First Information Report of the case of Dacoity under reference, that the case was an exceedir ly serious and important one calling for the presence of the Super intendent of Police at the scene of Crime. I therefore ~~///~~ wired to the Superintendent directing him to proceed to the spot immediately. I see no reason whatever to change this opinion, and must request you to kindly direct the Superintendent to go out at once and investigate the case in person sending in a full report witho further delay. I note that the First Intelligence was received at District Head Quarters at 3-30 P.M. of the 15th instant, that Mr. Haudin himself signed the First Information Report on the 15th or 16th, but gives no explanation for not having gone out to the sce of crime himself, although he is perfectly well aware that he is expected to do so - under Circular Memo No: 6332/24 dated 19th Ap 1904.

2. As Mr. Haudin's time appears to be so fully occupied that he is unable even to investigate a serious case of Dacoity, it is obvious that he has no time to go on leave, and I therefore have requested Government to refuse sanction to two days' casual leave from the 26th instant, asked for by him.

3. Again seeing that Mr. Haudin will be so fully engaged for some time to come in the following up of important clues in the Gubbi murder case, in the investigation of the Nonavinkere Dacoity case, and in other pressing work of the District, I think it would be inadvisable for him to attend the Police Athletic Meeting, and Police Conference early next month. May I ask you to very kindly inform him therefore that his attendance in Bangalore for the above Meeting will not be required?

I have &c.

(Signed.) F. C. [illegible]

Inspector-General of Police.

CR

No: 1306/68. Bangalore.

31st August 1904.

From,

F. E. C. Carr Esquire,

Inspector General of Police

in Mysore.

To,

K. S. Doraswami Iyer Esquire,

Deputy Commissioner,

Tumkur District.

Sir,

Adverting to my letter of the 23rd instant may I venture to remind you, that up to date I have received no report whatever what steps your Superintendent of Police has taken in the Nonavinkere Dacoity Case; or whether he has gone to the scene of Crime to carry out the investigation himself, as I directed him to do, in the letter under reference. May I request the favour of an early reply as I intend sending in a full report of the case to Government.

2. I would also request you to kindly direct your Superintendent to inform me officially, through you, whether he visited Bangalore on the 13th or 14th instant; and if he did so, under whose orders he left his District, for what purpose, and why he has not reported the same to my office.

I have &c.

(Signed.) F. C. Carr.

Inspector General of Police

CR

No: 1347/69 Office of the

Inspector General of Police in Mysore.

Dated, Bangalore, 2nd September 19

From,

F. E. C. Carr Esquire,

Inspector General of Police

in Mysore.

To,

K. B. Dorasami Iyer Esquire,

Deputy Commissioner,

Tumkur.

Sir,

On the 18th August last, according to the weekly report of your Superintendent of Police, Mr. Haudin, one Chenna was produced before the 1st class Magistrate Mr. Srinavasa Rao of Tumkur for his statement to be recorded, under section 164 C. P. C.

2. I am given to understand, from your former confidential communications to me with regard to this same Chenna that his (Chenna's) evidence or confession is a most important factor in the unravelling of the mystery surrounding the now famous Gubbi murder case. Such being the case, it seems somewhat extraordinary that no report either official or confidential has reached me, to date, from Mr. Haudin giving me a resume of Chenna's, Kolar Ranganna's or Annasami's statements, before the Magistrate.

3. All Mr. Haudin kindly deigns to say in his Weekly Report ending August 20th, is that "he concluded the examination of Chenna and took up that of the others" on the 19th.

Whether these statements tallied with those before recorded he does not mention.

4. I have the honor to request you to be so kind as to direct your Superintendent Mr. Haudin to send me the full statements of these persons at his earliest convenience, and to point out to him that it was his duty to keep me fully informed of all details of

ıe inquiry.

I have &c.

(Signed.) F. C. Carr.

Inspector General of Po:

Deputy Commissioner's Office

Tumkur District.

No. J. 126 .Fn. 5

Dated, Tumkur, 3rd. September 1904.

From

K. Dorasamy Iyer Esquire,

Deputy Commissioner,

Tumkur District.

To

F. E. C. Carr Esquire,

Inspector General of Police,

in Mysore.

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge your letter No. ---- 1306/68 of the 1st current and its predecessor of the 23rd. -- ultimo.

Curiously enough I decided upon the information reaching me that the case was one of easy detection. I knew that Woddars had encamped there and that some of them had engaged themselves as coolies and I inferred naturally that the offenders would be found among the latter. The fact that they had smeared their faces with ashes showed that they were known men who wished to avoid recognition.

Referring to Standing Police Orders I would draw your attention to (1) Inspector General of Police Corcular No. --- 434/29 dated the 14th May 1887. (2) No. 605/81 dated the 3rd. June 1887. (3) No. 1308/1 dated 11th October 1900. (4) No. -- 2050/78 dated 10th November 1891.

You will notice that the Circular ofthe 14th May 1887 was quickly followed by another on the 3rd June 1887 by way of interpretation. Yours of the19th April last numbered 6332/24 leaves out Amildars entirely and would seem to be on the same footing as the Circular of the 14th May 1887.

It is not necessary for me now to refer to your second wire the Superintendent in deference to which he left Tumkur at a me when I was elsewhere and when he had distinct instructions to attend to the disinfection of some houses in the Bazar street--- ere dead rats had been found. A death from Plague had occured so. The Vice President was new to the working of our Plague eventive measures and the Amildar was new to the Taluk and the perintendent of Police had therefore to remain here. He is also e District Plague Officer under Government orders and of that ou are no doubt aware.

Referring to your present letter I have the honor to state at the Superintendent went to Nonavinakere on the 24th ultimo d reported full particulars of the dacoity and its investigation n his Diary for the week ended 27th ultimo. The Diary reach - d you on the 31st ultimo and was returned on the 1st current nd arrived here this morning by the same post as your letter nder reply. I was not aware that you intended sending a full report of the case to Government, I shall call upon the perintendent for a full narrative of the case. (sent herewith).

I saw on another Diary of the Superintendent your re- ark that he had gone to Bangalore on the 13th ultimo. It was ews to me and if true , a serious matter. I have sent back he diary to you with his replies. I have now to inform you hat the Superintendent says that he did not go to Bangalore on he 13th or 14th and to recommend therefore a careful investi- ation of the information. I would deem it a great favor to be nformed of the result.

I have etc.,

Signed. K. Dorasami Iyer.

Deputy Commissioner.

It is not necessary for me now to refer to your second wire to the Superintendent in deference to which he left Tumkur at a time when I was elsewhere and when he had distinct instructions to to attend to the disinfection of some houses in the Bazar street--- where dead rats had been found. A death from Plague had occured also. The Vice President was new to the working of our Plague preventive measures and the Amildar was new to the Taluk and the Superintendent of Police had therefore to remain here. He is also the District Plague Officer under Government orders and of that you are no doubt aware.

Referring to your present letter I have the honor to state that the Superintendent went to Nonavinakere on the 24th ultimo and reported full particulars of the dacoity and its investigation in his Diary for the week ended 27th ultimo. The Diary reached you on the 31st ultimo and was returned on the 1st current and arrived here this morning by the same post as your letter under reply. I was not aware that you intended sending a full report of the case to Government, I shall call upon the Superintendent for a full narrative of the case. (sent herewith).

I saw on another Diary of the Superintendent's your remark that he had gone to Bangalore on the 13th ultimo. It was news to me and if true, a serious matter. I have sent back the diary to you with his replies. I have now to inform you that the Superintendent says that he did not go to Bangalore on the 13th or 14th and to recommend therefore a careful investigation of the information. I would deem it a great favor to be informed of the result.

I have etc.,

Signed. K. Dorasami Iyer.

Deputy Commissioner

Circular No. 1308/7 dated 11th October 1900.

In circulating the accompanying extract of an official -- Memorandum passed by the undersigned for information, ittis requested that the Amildars in the District may be directed to take up the investigation of all heinous cases of importance ocurring in their Taluk (Vide Chief Commissioner's Proceedings No. 488-11 dated 24th September 1880). This is necessary not only because it is their duty as the heads of the Taluk Police, but also in the interests of justice, as by their greater --- experience and influence they will be able to get up the cases more satisfactorily than when they are handled by inferior --- officers.

Sighned. A. Rangaswami Iyengar.
Inspector General of Police.

" C O P Y "

Sd. K. Doraswamy Iyer.

———————o———————

Dated, Bangalore, 10th November 1891 No 2050/78.

Several instances having recently come before the Inspector General, of superior officers of Police having neglected to -- take up the ~~case~~ investigation of important cases and of inferior officers such as Jamadars and Daffedars being chiefly employed on such work, the Inspector General directs that as a rule all heinous cases as also other cases of importance be investigated by Inspectors or Amildars. It is only when these officers cannot possibly take up the investigation, the reasons for which should always be recorded in writing that the inferior officers alluded to should be allowed to take up cases.

x x x x x x x

x x x x x x

" Extract" Sd/ L. Ricketts.
(Sd.) K. Dorasawmi Iyer. Inspector General of Police.

Circular No. 605/81 dated 3rd June 1887.

x x x x x x x

as to the question whether the Superintendent should submit Special Diaries and prosecute the cases or whether he should visit the spot and direct the investigation ofthe local officers , has the honor to state that except in very special cases the Superintendent is expected not to supersede local officers but to guide them in their investigation by his presence and instructions.

x x x x x x

(Sd.) L. Ricketts.

Inspector General of Police.

" E X T R A C T"

(Sd.) K. Doraswami Iyer.

No. 434/29 dated 14th May 1887.

Has the honor to request that unless absolutel prevented by the duty of inspection of stations, the investigation of cases of (1) Murder (2) Dacoity (3) Highway Robbery (4) House Breaking and Theft of the perty ofthe value of ₹500/- and over and (5) Riotin of specially grave nature should be taken and investgated and conducted by Superintendents and Assistant Commissioners of Police.

x x x x x

(Sd.) L. Ricketts.

Inspector General of Police

" E X T R A C T"

(SD.) K. Doraswami Iyer.

With reference to the Inspector General's No: 1306/68 dated 1st September 1904, I beg respectfully to report as follows:-

At 6-15 P.M. on the 23rd proximo, I received a defferred telegram from the Inspector General of Police directing me to proceed to Nonavankere (Tiptur Taluk) and investigate the case of Dacoi that occurred there on the night of the 13th August, the investigation of which the Amildar was under the Deputy Commissioner's orde directed to take up. I had only posted that morning (23rd August) to the Inspector General the Progress Report of Heinous cases in which the facts of the case were given and the arrest of 7 accused persons reported. Though the case was practically detected at the time, I neverthless left for Tiptur enroute to Nonavinkere for the scene of occurrence by 1st train the next morning (24th August). I met the Deputy Commissioner at Nittur on his return to Tumkur and reported matters to him. On arrival at Nonavankere, I visited the Kad Siddeswaramutt - the scene of dacoity - which is an isolated building a little more than a mile of the village and from inquir instituted ascertained the following facts:-

Owing to Nonavankere being infected with plague the place ha been wholly evacuated and the complainant - a village school mast named Nanjappa - with his wife, sister and daughter took up his quarters with the permission of the Swami in one of the out-house of the Mutt. Between 7 and 8 P.M. on the 13th instant while the complainant was with the Swami in the Mutt together with one Nanj diah, Rudriah, Sallangappa and Byra, a peon of the Mutt, 7 men ar with axes and having their faces smeared with ashes entered his h and made off with a box containing gold and silver jewels and clo to the aggregate value of Rs: 211-4-0. No violence was offered to any of the inmates by any of the offenders during the commission of the offence; but when making off, and in consequence of an ala being raised, they, to prevent pursuit probably, threw some ston two of which hit the Swami and one struck Nanjundiah. Except th momentary sting when hit by the stones neither of them is in any way hurt or injured.

After their fears subsided and they grew somewhat calm, information of the occurrence was sent by the Swami to Nonavankere to Yajman Chennabasappa who came to the Mutt with some half a dozen villagers and under his instructions the complainant Nanjappa went off to the Station and lodged information to the commission of the offence. The Station-house officer (Duffedar Hanumanthiah) being absent on plague duty at Karekere, 5 miles away, the Station write Constable Seetharamiah at once went off to the scene and ascertaining from the Mutt people that the offenders were Woddars from the gang encamped at Madihalli two miles away and that they were able to identify them, he, at once went there accompanied by the Complaant, Peon Byra, Yejman Chennabasappa and others. By this time it was about midnight. The following individuals were identified as being the offenders:-

(1) Venkataswami (4) Hanooma (7) Settiga
(2) Venkata (5) Hanoomantha
(3) Mariga (6) Dod Venkata

The first 5 were identified the same night and the other two the next morning out of a gang of 70. Information of the occurrence was sent to the Duffedar who arrived the next morning (14th) and after recording the statement of the complainant sent off the occurrence report to the Taluk Head Quarters. In the investigation tha followed 12 witnesses were examined of whom the following are the principal:-

(1) Karibasavaswami (4) Nanjundiah (7) Lingegowda
(2) Nanjappa (5) Gangamma
(3) Byra (6) Guru Siddamma.

The first named identified 1st, 2nd, 3rd, and 4th accused.

The 2nd witness also identified the 1st, 2nd, 3rd, and 4th accused.

The 3rd witness Byra identified 1st, 2nd, 3rd, and 5th accuse

The 4th Nanjundiah identified the 1st, 2nd, 3rd, 4th, 5th, ar 7th accused and states that the 3rd accused Mariga had no more tha one occassion visited the Mutt and made inquiries as to its income &c. This is all spoken to by Karibasavaswami. The 5th witness Ganga identified the 5th, 6th, and 7th accused persons and states that i was the 5th accused who snatched from her person a gold beaded

ecklace (Goondanasara) and the 7th accused a similar one from nat of her little daughter. The 6th witness Guru Siddamma identi ied the 6th accused.

Linge Gowda the 7th witness states that he saw five Woddars c adihalli at sundown on the 13th instant going past his garden to- ards the Mutt; that the 1st 2nd and 3rd accused were of the numb hat he asked them where they were going to and that the first ccused replied that they were on their way to Tiptur Shandy.

The complainant's box carried away by thieves was found the ext morning broken open a mile away from the Mutt on the way to adihalli. The jewels and cloths of course were missing but the chool Registers, Books, papers &c were found intact. The huts of he accused as also of those of the other members of the gang ove hich a watch had been kept were searched but without any result.

The identification of the accused being clear and satisfacto hey were arrested and a remand for 15 days applied for to enable the Police to, if possible, get at the property which has been secreted by the accused some where in the neighbour-hood of thei encampment. A word or two about these Woddars. Two gangs one consi ing of 31 male adults and their families and the other of 41 mal members and their wives and children arrived at Madihalli near Nonavankere on the 30th of May last from Shravanabelgola in the Chennaroypatna Taluk of the Hassan District. The former gang ca under the usual escort and " Gang Form" but not so the latter, a their Naik Dalavai Boyee possesses a certificate which he produc before the Station-house Officer of Shravanabelgola dated 31st J 1896 and signed by the then Amildar of Kunigal exempting the gan under the orders of the then Inspector General of Police (Mr. Madhava Rao) from the operations of the Gang Rules on the grou that its members owned and cultivated lands in Rajenhalli where then resided and were leading honest lives. This gang which is closely related to the one located at Solur of the Magadi Taluk the Bangalore District removed 6 years ago from Rajenhalli (Kun Taluk) and joined the former gang at Gandesi in the Chenroypat Taluk of the Hassan District, as there were inter-marriages bet them and they have kept together since wandering about the Chen

The Solur gang has apparently been exempted from the operation of the Gang Rules, for 4 members of this gang arrived at Madihalli on the 12th instant a day before the commission of the Nonavankere dacoity on a visit to their relatives and had with them a certificate from the Station-house Officer of Solur stating that they were exempted from the operations of the Rules and were going on a visit to their relatives at Madihalli. It is somewhat significant that of the 7 Woddars arrested in connection with the Nonavankere Dacoit 5 belong to the exempted Gang (Rajenhalli) and 2 to the Shravana-belgola gang. I have directed the Inspector to treat the former as a Criminal Gang and bring it on his register and have a close watch put on it.

It may be mentioned that the 7 persons have been chargesheeted and the hearing adjourned to the 8th instant.

With reference to para 2 of the Inspector General's letter I have to reiterate what I have already stated in my Diary for the week ending 20th August 1904, that it is not true that I visited Bangalore either on the 13th or 14th August 1904.

(Signed.) C. Haudin.
District Superintendent of Police.

T U M K U R .
6th September 1904.

No: 127/J.

Deputy Commissioner's Office.

Tumkur District.

Dated, Tumkur, 5th September 1904.

From,

K. Dorasamy Iyer Esquire,

Deputy Commissioner,

Tumkur District.

To,

F. R. C. Carr Esquire,

Inspector General of Police

in Mysore.

Sir,

In reply to your letter No: 1347/69 of the 2nd/ 3rd instant, I have the honor to inform you that the investigation into the matter of certain properties said to be connected with the Gubbi murders is not in the hands of the Superintendent of Police. The Assistant Commissioner Magistrate is enquiring into it. No statements were recorded by the Superintendent and so he could send you none. The inquiry by the Magistrate is preliminary to deciding upon future action and is not close yet; and pending its completion and the Magistrate's decision, I have not written to you. In my letter No: 702/J dated 29th May 1904, will be found the reasons for which the matter was put in the hands of the Assistant Commissioner Magistrate in preference to the Superintendent.

I have &c.

(Signed.) K. Dorasamy Iyer.

Deputy Commissioner.

CR

how why keep him in Tumkur hear Chenna's evidence when should have gone to the forgivinakere Laccity case?

File no: 47 Serial no: 15

Proceedings of the Govern
ment of H. H. the
Maharajah of Mysor

Read:-

Letter no. X dated 8
August 1904. from the
Inspector General of Pol
forwarding certain file
of Correspondence re-
lating to certain com
munications which
passed between his
office and that of th
DI Court of Tumkur an
the Superintendent of
Police of the Same Dis

2. Do — Do. no
nil dy 24th September 190

forwarding another
batch of correspondence.

3. Letters nos 133 and 146
of 22nd and 31st August last
respectively, from the
Dr Comr of Junkur,
relating to certain
Correspondence which
passed between him
and the Insptr Genl of
Police.

Order thereon:- no 878-9 of 6/10/97
Police 47-4
J.

The Correspondence
that passed between
Mr Carr, the Insptr
Genl of Police and
Mr Dorasamy Iyer.

the Dy Comr of Tumku
relates in the main
(1) to the deputation
by the Insp Genl with
- out reference to the
Dy Comr of Mr
Hardin, the Police
Supt of the Distt,
for the investigation
of a case of dacoit
stated to have been
committed at Ko-
ravinakere in the
Tiptur Taluk on the
18th August last;
(2) to the return in
original to the Dy
Comr of his docket
No. 3745 dy 6.4.04

relating to the appoint-ment of a qualified Drill Instructor on the ground that the tone of it was objectionable and was in the nature of an order or Command; (3) to the non-Compliance of Mr Carr to direct Mr L. Krishna Rao to return his weekly diaries relating to the Cuddi Murder Case, to the Distt Police Office, as requested by the Dy Comr.; (4) ~~to a~~

~~Circular No. 65/46/30 Dt 29.4.24, issued~~

In the Nonavinakere Dacoity case, it was obviously the duty of the Superintendent of Police to have proceeded to the Scene of occurrence in accordance with the Circulars issued by the Inspector General on 14th May 1887, 3d. June 1887 and 19th April last. These Circulars required all Supts and Assistant Super

intendents to visit
the Scene of occurre
in every heinous
case of Crime ~~is~~ tho
delay, take up the
investigation themselv
and carry the Cases
up to the Sessions
Court, personally
Supervising every
detail of their
enquiry. The first
information received
by the Insp^r Genl
having shewn that
the investigation had
been entrusted to
the Amildar, Mr

Carr, wired to the
Police Supt. on the
17th August to pro-
ceed to the Scene of
occurrence, a pro-
cedure which did
not follow the prac
-tice in Vogue of
addressing such Com
-munications direct
to the Dy Comr.
Mr Handin, however
did not go to the
Scene of occurrence
and Mr Carr follow
up his first telegram
by another, also sent
direct to the Supte
on the 23d of the

on the 19th August
that as in his opinion
the Case was not
a serious one, he
had decided on re-
ceipt of the First
Information Report
that it was suffi-
cient to depute the
Amildar for investi-
gation and that
the Supts presence
was required at
Tumkur in Connec
tion with the exa
mination of under
the Prisoners' Testi
mony Act of a

prisoner by name
Chenna who arrived
from the Mysore Jail
on the 17th night.
On the receipt of
~~the~~ the 2d telegram,
however, from Mr Carr,
Mr Hardin started
for Honavar arriving
on the morning of
the 24th August and
met en route at
Kittur, the Dy Comr
who was returning
to Sunkur from a
tour in the Drs't.
The result of the
investigation as far

as it appears from
the records before
the Government Sos
to Confirm the mis-
givings of the Insp
Genl that the case
was a serious one
and that without
the Supts presence
the local investiga
tion might not be
thorough. The whole
evidence that seems
to have been collected
was that of identifi-
-cation of the accused by a few
witnesses, no proper
effort having been
apparently been made

to get at the property
lost. Mr Hendrn's
it
presence, may, in these
circumstances, fairly
be believed, would
have been of material
benefit in the investi
-gation. The Dr Comr's
Second explanation
contained in his letter
no. J. 126 of 3d instant
to the Dept Genl — and received after repeated reminders from the latter Office
as to his having
detained Mr Hendrn
at Junkur to attend
to the disinfection
of certain houses
on account of plague
appears to be

at Variance with the first one contained in his letter No. J. 106 of 19.8.04, where as has already been stated, it is merely said that the Supts' stay at Trunkwe was necessary in connection with the examination of prisoner Chema.

In any case the Government cannot regard that in the present instance Mr. Dorasami Iyer exercised sound discretion in not complying with the disp

Genl circular of April
last. In all such cases,
it is expected that the
Dy Comr will accord
us full co-operation
and carry out the standing
instructions of the Insp Genl. If in any case,
the Dy Comr has reasons
for in any way deviating
from the instruction
he must explain his
reasons fully to the
Insp Genl. All other
duties devolving on
Police Officers must
be considered subordi-
nate to the paramount
duty of detection and
prevention of Crime.
The Govt note with

at Variance with
the first one contained
in his letter No. J. 106
of 19.8.04, where
as has already been
stated, it is merely
said that the Supts
stay at Trunkur was
necessary in connection
with the examination
of prisoner Chenna.

In any case the
Government cannot
regard that in the
present Case instance
Mr Dorasami Iyer
exercised sound dis
cretion in not Com
-plying with the his

disapproval that
the Dr Court did not
Comply with the
Dept. Generals re-
quisition for in
formation regard
Chemas Statemen
At the Same time
whatever may have
been the opinion
of Mr Carr regar
the relation that
should exist betwee
the Dept. Genl and
the Dpty. Police. Off
he should not have

as long as the relations continue to be guided under the existing standing orders, sent direct communications to the Supte ignoring the Deputy Commissioner. It is scarcely necessary to point out that such a procedure places the Superintendent in an embarrassing position and gives the Deputy

Commissioner Cause for Complaint, with regard to the return in original of the Deputy Commissioner letter relating to the Drill Instructor it cannot be considered that Mr. Carr's procedure was in accordance with the principles of approved official Courtesy. The

papers in the routine
~~Course of~~ business.
The Government, how
-ever, deem that
no useful purpose
will be served by
pursuing this or
any other matter
any further, but
have to remark
that much umbra
has been taken at
what after all
appear to be small
personal differen
which should not
have disturbed the
harmony which
such responsible

officers as the head
of a Department
and the Dr Comr
of a Distt are expected
to maintain between
themselves in the
paramount interest
of the public Service. It
is trusted that while
Dr Comrs as heads
of the Distt Police
will shew that Courtesy
and ~~difference~~
difference which are
due to the Distt-
Govt as the head
of the Police Deptt,
they will not also

fail to [illegible]
that regard and
consideration to which they
are entitled as the
responsible heads
of the District
administration.

28.9.'04

3/10/1904.
Secretary.

30.IX.04

[illegible]
[illegible]

issued.
6/10/04.

6.10.04.

Copies to R. K. 5-10-04
Issued: 5-10-04

File No. 47-4
S. No. 6

Camp No: C

Ex - Extract of the Supdt's Diary.

Camp Mysore.
20th October 1904.

J. 1193

From

K.Doraswami Iyer Esquire,

Deputy Commissioner of Tumkur.

To

B.K.Venkatavaradiengar Esquire,

Secretary to Government,

General and Revenue Departments,

Bangalore

Camp Mysore.

To Branch III
20.10.1904
20/10/1904

Sir,

Referring to Government Proceedings No.J-878-9-Police 47-4 dated the 5th instant upon certain correspondence that had passed between me and the Inspector General of Police, I have the honor to submit for consideration a few explanations.

2. In connection with the investigation of heinous offences and the discretion which used to be exercised by Deputy Commissioners, I beg that Government may be pleased to call for a list of such occurrences from some District preferably Kolar for the last 3 years and the number of them which were not directly investigated by the Superintendent of Police. The Government may find even cases of murder in that list. As however, the question has been decided against me, I accept without cavil that decision and its natural corollaries.

3. Referring to my letter No.J-126 dated the 3rd September, I.have the honor to explain that it was in answer to the Inspector General's communication of the 23rd August and its reminder of the 1st September. Mr.Carr had got on the 31st August a full report of the Nonavinkere case on the Superintendent's Diary for the week ended with

the 27th August. Extracts are enclosed for perusal. That Diary returned to me by the same post as his reminder of the 1st September. His query about the Superintendent going to Bangalore was answered on another Diary. Information regarding investigations used always to be furnished on the weekly Diaries, and so furnished even when specially called for by the Inspector General of Police. Neither law nor practi was ignored when a separate report was not sent embodying the facts already reported on the Diaries. Nevertheless, one was furnished upon the receipt of his letter of the 1st September, though he could have sent on the Diary itself or an extract from it if he wished the Government to have a full report of the case. It is evident therefore that there was no necessity for this reminder of the 1st September. It happens to be the only reminder on my file here and therefore I beg that Government may be pleased to call for the office copies of other reminders, if any, or at least for their numbers and dates.

4. The Inspector General's wires to the Superintendent of Police requiring him to proceed to Nonavinkere arrived on two different and distinctly unconnected occasions with an interval of some 4 or 5 days between. To have said in answer to the first wire that he was required at Tumkur on account of the disinfection of the Mandi or in answer to the second that he was required on account of prisoner Chenna from the Mysore Jail would have been at variance with actual facts which lay just the other way. It would also have been at variance with facts to have assigned one of these reasons for both occasions. The second wire reached the Superintendent on the 23rd August some hours after I had left Tumkur. The Superintendent had orders to attend to the disinfection of that part of the town known as the Mandi. Mr.Haudin's attention to it was considered necessary because I was leaving for Tiptur on the 23rd August and because the Vice-President was new to the work and the Amildar was new to the Taluk. Those orders had reference

the 27th August. Extracts are enclosed for perusal. That Diary returned to me by the same post as his reminder of the 1st September. His query about the Superintendent going t Bangalore was answered on another Diary. Information regarding investigations used always to be furnished on the weekly Diaries, and so furnished even when specially called for by the Inspector General of Police. Neither law nor prac was ignored when a separate report was not sent embodyi the facts already reported on the Diaries. Nevertheless, one was furnished upon the receipt of his letter of the 1st September, though he could have sent on the Diary it-self or an extract from it if he wished the Government t have a full report of the case. It is evident therefore that there was no necessity for this reminder of the 1st September. It happens to be the only reminder on my file here and therefore I beg that Government may be pleased to call for the office copies of other reminders, if any or at least for their numbers and dates.

4. The Inspector General's wires to the Superintendent Police requiring him to proceed to Nonavinkere arrived o two different and distinctly unconnected occasions with an interval of some 4 or 5 days between. To have said answer to the first wire that he was required at Tumkur account of the disinfection of the Mandi or in answer to the second that he was required on account of prisoner Chenna from the Mysore Jail would have been at variance with actual facts which lay just the other way. It would also have been at variance with facts to have assigned o of these reasons for both occasions. The second wire rea ed the Superintendent on the 23rd August some hours afte I had left Tumkur. The Superintendent had orders to atte to the disinfection of that part of the town known as th Mandi. Mr.Haudin's attention to it was considered necess because I was leaving for Tiptur on the 23rd August and because the Vice-President was new to the work and the Amildar was new to the Taluk. Those orders had reference

only to the disinfection of the Mandi. I beg to invite particular attention to my communications of the 22nd and 24th/31st August to Government and those of the 19th August and 3rd September to the Inspector General of Police from which no contradiction or variance could be read. As I have not had the advantage of examining Mr.Carr's arguments, I have the honor to ask the favor of being furnished with copies of his reports. The idea that I made varying statements as regarded the reason for Mr.Haudin's detention at Tumkur attacks at once my veracity and underatanding and hence my solicitude to examine the facts that led to it.

5. It may be seen from my communications which are already before Government that far from entering upon a second explanation for which there ~~is~~ was no occasion there having occurred no second detention of Mr.Haudin at Tumkur, I entered a second distinct protest against the Inspector General's new methods which appeared to attack seriously all accepted ideas of official etiquette and discipline.

6. It would seem that Mr.Haudin was apt to do things in the Deputy Commissioner's name all the while ignoring the Deputy Commissioner and that Mr.Carr was endeavouring to strengthen my hands against my assistants and that I did not appreciate the kindness and courtesy of that endeavour. The logic would seem to have been that A had ignored B and must perforce ignore C,D,E etc- the whole world in fact. Mr.Carr would seem to have some ideas also of his superior experience and ability as compared to me. I do not propose to discuss them as I acknowledge many superiors in the world. One more or less among that number should be a matter of perfect indifference to me.

7. In regard to the Inspector General's letter No.1347-69 dated 2nd/3rd September, I beg leave to explain that he had asked to be furnished with a resume of the statements in the Magistrate's Court. The Superintendent of Police had recorded none as I had kept the direction of the case in

had informed him that the case had been entrusted to a Magistrate of experience instead of to the Superintendent of Police for reasons given therein. I wrote demi-officially to Mr.Carr on the 24th and 27th July and he acknowledged those letters on the 31st July. Mr.Carr could have safely assured himself that such information as he should have, would be communicated to him by me in good time. My letter in answer J.No.127 of the 5th September told him that the inquiry by the Magistrate was preliminary to deciding upon future action and was not closed yet and that pending its completion and the Magistrate's opinion, I had not written to him. There was no reason to complain that I refused information. I could not bring myself to follow the precedent that Mr.Carr had ~~set~~ Set in the matter of the Police weekly Diaries of my District that had been taken away by Mr.Krishna Rao.

8. I beg, in conclusion, that the Inspector General of Police may be asked to cause the return of the weekly Diaries and to furnish me with a copy of the confidential communication referred to in my letter to him J.No.59 of the 26th July.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

K Dharmaraja Iyer

Deputy Commissioner.

Remarks of the Inspector eneral of Police in Mysore.

.....

Tumkur.

Monday 22-8-1904.

.....

Tumkur

Tuesday 23-8-1904.

.....

This telegram was despatched n the 17th from Bangalore. I annot understand how it could ave taken till the 23rd to each Tumkur and I presume the uperintendent refers to my econd telegram of the 23rd? f the Superintendent had gone ut on the 17th he would have oubtless been able to help the ocal officers- not going till he 24th to the spot, the case as of course virtually closed.

(Sd) F.C.Carr.

1-9-1904.

Tiptur

Wednesday 24-8-1904.

.....

Extract from the Diary of the Superintendent of Police Tumkur Distric for the week ending 27-8-1904.

.....

Received at 6-15 this evening a deferred telegram from the Inspector General of Police directing me to proceed to Nonavinkere (Tiptur) and investigate the case of dacoity that occurred there on the night of the 13th instant the investigation of which had been taken up by the Amildar under the orders of the Deputy Commissioner. I only posted this morning to the Inspect -or General the Progress Report of heinous cases in which the facts of this case were given and the arrest of seven accused persons reported. Though the case has been practically detected, nevertheless, I leave as ordered by 1st train tomorrow morning.

Tiptur.

Thursday 25-8-1904.

.....

The Station House Officer (Duffeda Hanumanthia) being absent on plague dut at Karekere 5 miles away , the Station writer Constable Seetaramiah at once went off to the scene and ascertaining from the Mutt people that the offender were Woddars from the gang encamped at Madaihalli two miles away and that they were able to identify them, at once went there accompanied by the complainant Pevu Eyra Yejaman Channabasnappa and others. By this time it was midnight.

Seetaramiah acted with very commendable promptitude.

(Intld) F.C.

(Sd) C.Haudin.

District Superintendent of Police.

Tumkur,

23-8-1904.

It was very fortunate that there was so intelligent a constable as Seetaramiah to take up the investigation so promptly- otherwise there is no doubt this case would never have been detected, as the accused persons would have time to scatter . Nothing is said of the action of the Amildar who was ordered to the scene of crime by the Deputy Commissioner.

(Sd) F.C.Carr

1-9-1904.

"Extract"

K Doreswamy

Deputy Commissioner
Tumkur District

Tiptur.

Thursday 25-8-1904.

.....

— The Station House Officer (Duffeda Hanumanthia) being absent on plague dut at Karekere 5 miles away , the Station writer Constable Seetaramiah at once went off to the scene and ascertaining from the Mutt people that the offender were Woddars from the gang encamped at Madaihalli two miles away and that they were able to identify them, at once went there accompanied by the complainant Pevu Eyra Yejaman Channabasnappa and others. By this time it was midnight.

Seetaramiah acted with very commendable promptitude.

(Intld) F.C.

(Sd) C.Haudin.

District Superintenden of Police.

Tumkur,

23-8-1904.

It was very fortunate that there was so intelligent a constable as Seetaramiah to take up the investigation so promptly- otherwise there is no doubt this case would never have been detected, as the accused persons would hav time to scatter . Nothing is said of the action of the Amildar who was ordered to the scene of crime by the Deputy Commissioner.

(Sd) F.C.Carr

1-9-1904.

"Extract"

K Doreswamy

Deputy Commissioner
Tumkur District

No. 3034 Sm 3.
413

Office of the
Inspector General of Police in Mysore.
Dated, Bangalore, 1st December 1904.
2nd

From,

F. E. L. Lavie Esquire,
Inspector General of Police
in Mysore.

To, Rao Bahadur. L. Madiah Esquire,
Councillor
Mysore Government.

Sir,

I have the honor to forward herewith copy of letter No nil dated 25th November 1904 from the Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District with its enclosures.

The original diary of the Superintendent for the week ending 27th August

is reported to have been submitted to Government by the Deputy Commissioner.

I request that you will be so good as to call for the original diary from the Secretariat if its copy is not found to serve the required purpose.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant,

J C Lees

Inspector General of Police

Tumkur

Dated, 25 November 1904.

From,

K. Doraswami Iyer Esqr,
Deputy Commissioner,
Tumkur district.

To,

F. E. L. Lavor Esqr
Inspector General of Police
in Mysore.

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge your letter No $\frac{2784}{132}$ dated $\frac{21^{st}}{22^{nd}}$ instant and t thank you for the diary of the week ende the 26th March last and the copy of the diary for the week ended the 20th August

2. The diary for the week ended the 11th June last is not available here. I beg

+ L

that you may be so good as to favor me with it, if found among your papers upon an another Search at your Convenience.

3. It is rather late now to alter my letter of the 22nd instant to Government in which I have said referring to your letter to me of the .... instant that the requisition for the diary of the week ended the 27th August last might be taken as complied by me on your behalf. There has been some delay already and it would mean more delay to alter a part of the letter and typewrite it over again. I am however sending herewith a full and correct copy of the diary made for my own reference and trust that it may be useful. The original diary which forms an enclosure to my letter

letter to Government can be easily procured from the Secretariat, if the copy will not do and the original itself is required for reference. I am sending also herewith in original the diary of the week ended the 3rd September last.

I have etc.

(Signed). K. Doraswami Iyer
Deputy Commissioner

"Copy"

Inspector General of Police.

Diary of the Superintendent of Police Tumkur district for the Week ending 27 8. 04

Tumkur
Monday 22. 8. 04.

At drill of the district and Special Reserve Police Looked up the Town and Visited the Town Station. Attended office for the day Patrolled in Town.

Tumkur
Tuesday 23. 8.

It having been ascertained that dead rats were found in several of the Tyrain "Godowns" in the Town and that one of the merchants got fever and had left by Sunday morning's train for Bangalore where he died early yesterday morning the Dy: Commr on being informed of the matter directed me as district plague offr to have the whole of the Shops disinfected tomorrow. At the Railway Station Conveyance on the Dy: Commr leaving off for Tiptur. Attended office for the day.

In the Evening looked

up the Town and visited the Kaaba station.

Received at 6-15 this Evening a deference telegram from the Inspector General of Police directing me to proceed to Romainikora (Tiptur) and investigate the case of dacoity that occurred there on the night of the 3rd instant the investigation of which has been taken up by the Civil-ans under the orders of the Dy: Commr.

I only posted this morning to the Inspector General the progress Report of heinous Cases in which the facts of this Case were given and the arrest of the 7 accused hereons reported. Though the Case has been practically detected nevertheless I leave as ordered by 1st train tomorrow morning.

Left by the noon train for Tiptur enroute to Honavinkere.

This telegram was dispatched on the 17th from Bangalore. I received it at Honavinkere on the 18th when I was about to proceed to Tiptur. Till the 23rd

Tiptur

I presume the Supt. refers to my second telegram of the 23rd. If the Supt. had gone out on the 17th he would have doubtless been able to help the local officers not forcing till the 24th to the spot the case was of course virtually closed

Lr. 7.E.C Cam
1.9.04.

The reason for my not going out has already been explained by the Dy: Comm and moreover I also reported the matter in my diary for the week ending 20.8.04

(J) 6.H.
5.9.04

Tiptur
Wednesday 24.8.04

Swamy in the mutt to-
gether with one Manjunda
Rudria Sallang appa
and Byra a peon of
the Mutt, 7 men arrived
with axes and having
their faces smeared
with soke entered his
house and made off
with a box containing
Gold and silver Jewels
and cloths to the aggregate
value of Rs 211/4. No
violence was offered to
any of the inmates
by any of the offenders
during the Commission
of the offence. but when
making off and in
consequence of an
alarm being raised
they, to prevent pursuit
probably, threw some
stones, two of which hit
the Swamy and one
struck Manjunda.
Except the momentary
sting when hit by the
stones neither of them
is in any way hurt or
injured. After their fear

fears subsided and they grew somewhat calm information of the occurrence was sent by the Swamy to Honavinkere to Yajman Chennabasappa who came to the Hutt with some half a dozen villagers and under his instructions the Complainant Ranjappa went off to the Station and lodged information of the Commission of the offence The Station House officer (Duffedar Hanumanthia) being absent on plague duty at Karekere 5 miles away the Station Writer Constable Seetharamia at once went off to the Scene and ascertaining from the Hutt people that the Offenders were Wadderas from the Gang Encamped at Madihalli two miles away and that they were able to identify them (he?) at once went there accompanied by the Complainant

Sitaramiah acted with very commendable promptitude

seen
C.H.
7.8 C. Carr.

Scan (9) 6/4 5-9-04

from Byrea Rajuan Chenna-
basappa and others. By
this time it was about
midnight.

The following individuals
were identified as being the
offenders; —

(1) Venkataswamy
(2) Venkata
(3) Mariga
(4) Ramanna
(5) Ramanantha
(6) Dodda Venkatappa
(7) Settiga

The first 5 were identi-
fied the same night and
the other two the next
morning out of a gang
of 70. Information of
the occurrence was sent
to the Daffedar who
arrived the next morn-
ing (14th) and after
receiving the Statement
of the Complainant
sent off the occurrence
Report to Talak Head

Quarters.

In the investigation that followed twelve witnesses were Examined of whom the following were the principal

(1) Karibasavaswamy
(2) Manjappa
(3) Byra
(4) Manjunatha
(5) Gangamma
(6) Gurunadhama
(7) Lingaprabha

The first Examt identified the 1st - 2nd - 3rd and 4th accused.

The 2nd witness also identified the 1st - 2nd - 3rd and 4th accused.

The 3rd witness Byra identified 1st - 2nd - 3rd ~~and~~ 5th accused

3rd, 4th, 5th — The 4th Ranjundayya identified the 1st, 2nd and 3rd accused and stated that the 3rd accused Marig had on more than one occasion visited the Mutt and made inquiries as to its income. This is also spoken to by

Karibaccavaawaany.

The 5th witnep Gangama identifies the 5 - 6 and 7th accused perons and states that it was the 5th accused who snatches from her person a gold beaded necklace (Goondamaara) and the 7th accused a similar one from that of her little daughter.

The 6th witnep Gurusidrama identifies the 6th accused.

Linge gowda the 7th witnep states that he saw five waddars of Marihalli at Sunnowe on the 13th instant going past his garden towards the Watt; That the 1st to 2nd and 3rd accused were of the Number; that he asked them where they were going to and that the first accused replied

that they were on their way to the Lighter Shandy.

The Complainant's box carried away by thieves was found the Northern being broken open a mile away from the Mart on the way to Nasirhalli. The Jewels and clothes of Course were missing but the school registers books papers &c. were found intact.

The huts of the accused as also of those of the other members of the gang over which a watch had been kept were searched but without any result.

The identification of the accused being clear and satisfactory, they were arrested and a remand for 15 days applied for to enable the Police to, if possible, get at

at the property which has
been recorded by the
accused somewhere in
the neighbourhood of their
Encampment.

About two or three about these
wagons. Two days -
one consisting of 31
male adults and their
families, and the other
of 41 male members
and their wives and
children - arrived at

All this was known a
few days before 20
bread to Romanintere
(9). K.V.S

20
(9) G.H.

of the property which has been recorded by the accused somewhere in the neighbourhood of their Encampment.

A host of lies about these warrars. Two gangs - one consisting of 31 male adults and their families, and the other of 41 male members and their wives and children - arrived at Madihalli near Honavinkere on the 30th of May last from Shravan Belagula in the Cheenaya patna Taluk of the Hassan District.

The former gang came under the Head Convoy and gangsmen but not so the latter as their Naik Dalvai Boyas - possesses a certificate which he produced before the Station House officer of

All this was known a few days before you went to Honavinkere

(J). K.S.D

for
(J) G.H.

of Shravan Belagula dated
31st July 1896 and signed
by the then Amildar of
Kunigal Exempting
the gang under the orders
of the then Inspector
General of Police (Mr.
Madhava Rao) from
the operations of the gang
Rules on the ground that
its members owned and
cultivated lands in
Rajenhalli where they
then resided and were
leading honest livelihood.

This gang which is closely
related to the one located
at Solur of the Nagara
Taluk in the Bangalore
District, removed 6 years
ago from Rajenhalli
(Kunigal Taluk) and joined
the former gang at Gandasi
in the Cheluvapatna
Taluk of the Hassan
District, as there were inter-
marriages between them

and they have kept to-
gether since, wandering
about the Cheerayapatan
and Trichore Taluks.

The Solur gang has
apparently been ex-
empted from the
operations of the Gang
Rules for 4 members
of this gang arrived
at Madhalli on the
12th instant, a day
before the Commission
of the Honavinkere
dacoity, on a visit
to their relatives and had
with them a certificate
from the Station House
officer of Solur stating
that they were exempted
from the operation of
the Rules and were going
on a visit to their re-
lations at Madhalli.
It is some what signi-
ficant that of the 7
that are arrested

in connection with the Honavinkere dacoity 5 belong to the exempted gang (Rajenhalli) and two to the Shravan Belagula gang. I have directed the Inspector to treat the former as a criminal gang and bring it on his Register and have a close watch put on it.

Quite right
(J) F. E. C. Carr
Seen
(J) C. H. 5.9.04.

Inspected the Registers etc, of the Honavinkere Station and returned to Tiptur where I Camped for the night.

Tumkur
Friday 26. 8. 04.

Left Tiptur this morning for Tumkur by mail train and attended office for the day.

Tumkur
Saturday 27. 8. 04.

Attended office for the day.

Nothing unusual reported in the district during the week.

It was very fortunate that there was so intelligent a

Notes of inspection of the Honavinkere Sub

Station attached for
service al
(Signed): C Hawes
Dt. S. Police

Plumtree
25. 9. 04

a Constable. as Sesha
manaiah to take upon the
investigation so promptly
otherwise there is no doubt
this Case would have been
detected, as the accused
persons would have had
time to scatter. Nothing
is said of the action of
the Amils as who is a
stranger to the scene of
crime by the Dy: Commr

(Signed) J. E. C. Carr
1-9-04

The Amils arrived
at the scene on the 16th
inquest and helped the
Inspector, who got there
before him and had
entered on the investigat

(I) C. H.
5-9-04.

Copy
forwarded
D. Comr.